World Bank's Views on Private participation in Power Sector in India

255. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to the news item captioned "Bank picks holes in approach on power deals" as reported in the Times of India of 19th June, 1995;

(b) if so, whether the World Bank has denounced the cost plus approach to tariff under which private parties have been allowed to set up power plants in India;

(c) if so, the reaction of Government thereon; and

(d) the steps Government propose to take to remove the shortcomings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI URMILA CHIMANBHAI PATEL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(c) to (d) The World Bank in its document titled "India—Country Economic Memorandum" has inter-alia made certain observations on the two-part tariff notification which are under examination by the Government of India.

ऋण वसूली के लिए अधिकरण स्थापित किया जाना

256. **चौधरी हरमोह सिंह**:

श्री कनकसिंह मोहनसिंह मंगरोला:

क्या वित्त मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क)क्या सरकार ने कोई ऋण वसूली अधिकरण स्थापित किया है;

(ख)यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या हैं; और

(ग)सरकार ने 30 जून, 1994 तक इस अधिकरण के माध्यम से कितना कार्य सम्पन्न किया हैं?

वित्त मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम0वी0 चन्द्रशेखर मूर्ति): (क) से (ग) जी, हां। सरकार ने अब तक निम्नलिखित पांच ऋण वसूली अधिकरण स्थापित किए हैं:-

अधिकरण का नाम	स्थापना की तारीख
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता	27.4.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकारण, दिल्ली	5.7.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, जयपुर	30.8.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, बंगलौर	30.11.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकारण, अहमदाबाद	21.12.94

30 जून, 1994 की स्थिति क अनुसार केवल कलकत्ता में एक अधिकरण की स्थापना की गई थी। ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता से प्राप्त सूचना के अनुसार, 30 जून, 1994 तक विभिन्न अदालतो से ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता को तीन मामले अंतरित किए गए थे, जिसमे लगभग 13.75 करोड़ रूपए की राशि अंतर्ग्रस्त हैं और 17 नए मामले ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता के पास दर्ज कराए गए जिसमे लगभग 18.29 करोड़ की राशि अंतर्ग्रस्त हैं।

Vigilance cases against employees of Union Bank of India

257. SHRI PRAGADA KOTAIAH: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what are details of the vigilance cases against officers and other employees of the Union Bank of India in which the proceedings are actually stayed by the competent courts, and in which the proceedings are not stayed by the courts;

(b) in the cases where the proceedings are not stayed by the court what are the details of the persons who are still working in the Bank, and what are the reasons for allowing them to continue to work in spite of vigilance cases against them; and

(c) what is the role of the top management of Bank in such matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKARA MURTHY): (a) to (c) Union Bank of India has reported that out of 121 vigilance cases pending as on 30.6.95, proceedings have been stayed by Ccurts in two cases. Out of remaining, 119 cases, 24 officers/employees have been placed under suspension. The decision regarding suspension of employees is taken by the management based on the merits of each case and on